

Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and hasn't/haven't been amended/repealed subsequent to that review.

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document Reviewed (include title):

WAC 458-12-135 "Listing of property – Taxing district designation" WAC 458-12-140 "Listing of property – Boundary changes"

Date last reviewed: 9/30/98

Current Reviewer: Kim M. Qually

Date current review completed: 8/22/01

Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or association request?

YES NO

Type an "x" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

1. Related statutes, ancillary documents, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:

YES	NO	
X		Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated?
	X	Are there any interpretive statements not identified in the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)
	X	Are there any ancillary documents that should be repealed because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)
X		Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?
	X	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions (WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?
	X	Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above? (An



Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed if any changes are recommended with respect to an interpretive statement.)

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a brief summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

RCW 84.04.120, which defines "taxing district", has been amended since the rule review was completed. Therefore, the corresponding changes need to be incorporated into the rule. See Laws of 1999, c 153, s 69.

The BTA case from San Juan County has some information about valuation of property after a segregation that should be incorporated into the consolidated rule.

2. Additional information: Identify any additional issues (other than that noted above or in the previous review) that should addressed or incorporated into the rule. **None**

3. LISTING OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:

Statute(s) Implemented:

RCW 84.04.120 "Taxing district";

RCW 84.09.030 "Taxing district boundaries - Establishment";

RCW 84.09.037 "School district boundary changes";

RCW 84.40.090 "Taxing district to be designated"; and

RCW 84.40.160 "Manner of listing real estate - Maps".

Ancillary Documents (i.e., ETAs, PTBs, and ADs): None

Court Decisions: None

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs):

Denman & Campbell v. San Juan County Assessor, Docket 52796-98 (1999) – valuation following a segregation or boundary change

Administrative Decisions (e.g., WTDs): None

Attorney General's Opinions (AGOs): None

Other Documents: None



4.	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{a}}$	view	D	ഹഹ	mm	and	atio	n
4.	Re	view	ĸ	-0.0		ena	ини	ш

X	_ Amend
	Repeal (Appropriate when repeal is not conditioned upon another rule-making action.)
	Leave as is (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.)
	Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when the Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)

Explanation of recommendation: Provide a brief summary of any changes you've identified/recommended earlier in this review document. If this recommendation differs from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference. If recommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the recommendation is to:

- Correct inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
- Incorporate legislation;
- Consolidate information now available in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, court decisions); or
- Address issues not otherwise addressed in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, court decisions).

The original review recommended that these two rules be consolidated because they deal with the same subject matter and that the consolidated rule should reflect the current text of the underlying statutes. This is still a valid recommendation. The legislation noted above and any clarifying information contained in the San Juan County BTA case should be incorporated into the rule as is appropriate.

5.	Manager action: Date:	
	Reviewed and accepted recommendation	n
An	mendment priority:	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	